

WESTERN HEMISPHERE READINESS SERIES

HRS RESILIENCE FOUNDATION

SECTOR READINESS ASSESSMENT 07

Food Security & Strategic Agricultural Resilience

Food Systems, Supply-Chain Resilience and Western Hemisphere Security

PUBLICATION TYPE

Independent sector readiness
assessment

PRIMARY ENVIRONMENT

Western Hemisphere

EDITION

September 2026

SERIES POSITION

Sector Readiness Assessment 07

AUTHOR

André Vieira

INSTITUTION

HRS Resilience Foundation

Research integrity. This public assessment is an original HRS Resilience Foundation synthesis based on public-source evidence and HRS analytical constructs. It does not represent the views or commitments of any government, company, university or other institution cited.

Evidence discipline. Documented facts are distinguished from analytical judgments; country roles describe strategic food-system relevance rather than national virtue, political alignment or military power.

CONTENTS

01 Food Security as Strategic Infrastructure	3
02 Dependency, Substitutability and Strategic Leverage	4
03 The U.S.–Brazil–China Food Relationship	4
04 Strategic Food System Architecture	5
05 Strategic Roles Across the Americas	5
06 Central America and the Caribbean	6
07 Energy, Fertilizer and Food Security	6
08 China and External Dependency	7
09 50-Year Horizon: 2026–2076	7
10 100-Year Horizon: 2026–2126	8
11 HRS Food Resilience Improvement Framework	8
12 Implications for U.S. and Hemispheric Strategy	9
13 Strategic Nodes and Corridors	9
14 Conclusion	10
15 Methodology, Research Integrity and Limitations	11

About this series. The Western Hemisphere Readiness Series is an independent body of research published by the HRS Resilience Foundation, examining the structural conditions that determine hemispheric readiness across critical sectors. Each assessment applies the HRS Readiness Cycle to a specific domain, drawing on government and institutional sources while presenting original synthesis and analysis.

SECTION 01

Food Security as Strategic Infrastructure

Food systems are strategic infrastructure. Their resilience depends on reliable inputs, water, energy, finance, storage, processing, transportation, digital systems, market access and the ability to substitute when a critical node fails.

The Western Hemisphere begins from a strong structural position. The United States and Canada provide major production, technology, finance and logistics depth; Mexico connects North American production and consumption systems; Brazil and Argentina provide large-scale agricultural capacity; Chile, Peru, Colombia, Paraguay, Uruguay and Guyana add important production, water, logistics and resource capabilities; Central America and the Caribbean provide critical agricultural, maritime and market nodes.

HRS CENTRAL PROPOSITION

Food security is a strategic resilience function. The principal risk is not trade itself, but critical dependence without sufficient substitutability, recovery capacity or sovereign choice.

FIVE GUIDING FINDINGS

Food availability is an infrastructure problem; input security matters as much as output; hemispheric depth is a strategic asset; China is a material factor in demand, processing and trade; and sovereignty and resilience are compatible.

The objective is resilient interdependence: countries should be able to trade extensively while retaining sufficient productive capacity, infrastructure, inventories and alternative channels to prevent a critical food dependency from becoming coercive leverage.

Food security should be evaluated as a system rather than a commodity category. A disruption at one layer can propagate through the rest of the chain. Land and water support production. Inputs include fertilizer, seed, crop-protection products, machinery and fuel. Energy supports irrigation, processing, refrigeration and transport. Storage, processing, ports, rail, roads and waterways determine whether food can move. Finance and digital systems increasingly determine whether operations can continue.

The HRS Readiness Cycle — Detect → Assess → Decide → Coordinate → Deploy → Sustain → Learn — is applied to these layers.

Core readiness question: if one critical node fails, what functions remain available, for how long, and at what cost?

SECTION 02

Dependency, Substitutability and Strategic Leverage

The central analytical variable is dependency without substitutability. Foreign participation, trade or investment is not itself classified as a risk. Risk increases when a critical dependency is concentrated, opaque, difficult to replace and capable of causing disproportionate disruption.

Relevant dependencies include export restrictions, buyer concentration, fertilizer concentration, seed/genetics concentration, port and logistics concentration, energy dependence, financial dependence, technology dependence and trade restrictions.

Sovereignty is a starting premise, not a variable to be scored. HRS analysis seeks to expand the options available to sovereign decision-makers and improve their ability to withstand disruption.

SECTION 03

The U.S.–Brazil–China Food Relationship

The United States, Brazil and China occupy different but interlocking positions in global food trade. The relationship illustrates how production, demand, technology, processing, finance and market access can create both resilience and dependency.

The United States is a major producer with technology, finance, logistics and export capacity. Brazil is a major producer and exporter with a large land and water base and major commodity capacity. China functions as a major demand and processing center whose purchasing decisions affect producers and global prices.

USDA analysis identifies structural interdependence among the United States, Brazil and China in soybean trade.^[3,4,5] HRS treats this relationship as a strategic dependency map rather than as a political alignment test.

The resilience objective is not to eliminate China-related trade, but to ensure that producers, consumers and critical inputs retain alternative channels when market conditions change.

SECTION 04

Strategic Food System Architecture

Production, water, inputs, energy, storage, processing, logistics, finance, technology and markets must be evaluated as one operating system.

Priority capabilities include diversified production bases; reliable water; diversified fertilizer and input sourcing; resilient electricity and fuel; grain and cold-chain storage; domestic and regional processing; redundant ports and inland corridors; secure digital systems; diverse finance; and preplanned emergency response.

The system should be tested under combined stress, for instance a port closure coinciding with fertilizer disruption, an energy interruption during harvest, or a disease event that occurs while a major trade corridor is constrained.

SECTION 05

Strategic Roles Across the Americas

COUNTRY	ROLE
United States	Tier 1 — Anchor. Production depth, technology, finance, logistics, storage and market scale.
Canada	Tier 1 — Anchor. Grains, oilseeds, livestock, freshwater and northern production potential.
Mexico	Tier 1 — Gateway. North American production and consumption scale and cross-border corridors.
Brazil	Tier 1 — Supply Anchor. Large-scale agriculture, water, land, ports and commodity production.
Argentina	Tier 1 — Production Node. Grain, oilseed, livestock and agricultural technology potential.
Chile, Peru and Colombia	Tier 2. Production, port and regional nodes with Pacific, Caribbean and Andean relevance.
Paraguay and Uruguay	Tier 2. Inland/Atlantic production nodes with strong agricultural and logistics relevance.
Guyana	Tier 2. Emerging producer with agricultural potential, freshwater and Atlantic position.
Central America and the Caribbean	Interconnected corridor, maritime, production and import-resilience systems.

SECTION 06

Central America and the Caribbean

Small production scale does not imply low strategic relevance. Island and corridor systems can become critical because food imports, maritime access, water availability and disaster response can be disrupted quickly.

Panama is strategically important because of its canal and logistics position. Guatemala, Honduras and Nicaragua contribute agricultural and corridor capacity. Costa Rica contributes agriculture, water, technology and institutional capacity. Caribbean states combine maritime access, food-import dependence, fisheries, water constraints and disaster-response requirements.

HRS does not treat vulnerability as a judgment on national capacity or governance. The relevant question is whether a disruption can propagate into food availability, logistics, humanitarian response or regional continuity.

SECTION 07

Energy, Fertilizer and Food Security

Food security is tightly coupled to energy security. Irrigation, pumping, fertilizer production, farm machinery, refrigeration, processing, transportation and data systems all require reliable energy.

EIA data show that natural gas is an important feedstock for fertilizer and other agricultural chemicals.^[8] Food manufacturing is also a material energy-consuming activity.

For the Western Hemisphere, this creates a strategic opportunity: energy-producing and agricultural-producing countries can reinforce one another while maintaining sovereign control over domestic resources and policy choices.

Cross-series linkage: this section directly links Sector Readiness Assessment 05 (Energy Security), Sector Readiness Assessment 06 (Ports and Logistics) and Sector Readiness Assessment 07 (Food Security).

SECTION 08

China and External Dependency

China is considered because its role in agricultural demand, commodity processing and trade can materially influence Western Hemisphere food systems, and the assessment does not presume hostile intent on China's part.

The relevant variables are measurable: concentration of demand, ownership and control, processing capacity, financing, technology dependence, trade-route exposure and the availability of alternative buyers or suppliers.

The same analytical rule applies to any external actor, including the United States, Europe, Asia or private multinational firms. A resilient system should avoid single-source or single-buyer dependencies where failure would have disproportionate consequences.

HRS POSITION

HRS treats this as a question of substitutability rather than decoupling: whether sovereign institutions and private producers retain enough alternatives to keep operating if a major relationship changes.

SECTION 09

50-Year Horizon: 2026–2076

PERIOD	PRIORITY
2026–2035	Identify critical dependencies; establish baseline inventories, corridors, suppliers and processing capacity.
2035–2050	Build redundancy in storage, processing, energy, ports, water systems and digital infrastructure.
2050–2065	Refresh productive capacity, workforce depth, technology and strategic reserves.
2065–2076	Maintain adaptability as demographic, technological, trade and resource conditions change.

A 50-year strategy should not attempt to predict individual events. It should identify capabilities that remain useful across many possible futures.

SECTION 10

100-Year Horizon: 2026–2126

The 100-year horizon shifts the assessment from projects to institutional continuity.

Priority areas: land and water capital; genetic and biological capacity; infrastructure renewal; workforce continuity; technology replaceability; and institutional memory.

DESIGN PRINCIPLE

The goal is not self-sufficiency, but the durable capacity to trade, produce, store, process and recover as conditions change.

SECTION 11

HRS Food Resilience Improvement Framework

- 1 Map critical dependencies.
- 2 Classify essential food functions.
- 3 Test effective redundancy.
- 4 Establish strategic reserves.
- 5 Diversify markets.
- 6 Protect water and soil capital.
- 7 Build processing depth.
- 8 Secure logistics.
- 9 Protect food-system technology.
- 10 Exercise food disruption.
- 11 Strengthen workforce depth.
- 12 Convert lessons into investment.

This framework is an HRS analytical construct. It is intended to support assessment and planning; it is not a government standard or certification.

SECTION 12

Implications for U.S. and Hemispheric Strategy

AGENCY	RELEVANCE
Department of State	Food-system resilience can support regional stability, diplomatic engagement, disaster response and continuity among partners.
Department of Defense	Food, fuel, logistics, infrastructure and agricultural systems can affect installation resilience, operational continuity and broader national-security conditions.
Department of Energy / EIA	Fertilizer, irrigation, processing, refrigeration and transportation demonstrate the operational linkage between energy and food security.
USDA	Agricultural production, market intelligence, animal and plant health, food systems and supply-chain resilience are central technical domains.

The strategic opportunity is to strengthen capacity and options without prescribing a foreign partner, supplier, technology or political model.

SECTION 13

Strategic Nodes and Corridors

North American agricultural corridors connect U.S., Canadian and Mexican production, processing and consumption. Gulf and Atlantic ports move bulk agricultural commodities, inputs and energy. The Panama Canal and Caribbean routes connect Atlantic and Pacific systems.

Brazilian Atlantic ports support large-scale agricultural exports. The Paraguay–Paraná waterway connects inland South American production with Atlantic markets. Pacific South American ports support Chilean, Peruvian and regional trade.

The practical readiness test is: if one major corridor fails, what functions remain available, for how long, and at what cost?

SECTION 14

Conclusion

The next century will not be defined only by who produces the most food. It will be shaped by who can maintain food availability when production, trade, finance, energy, logistics, technology and critical infrastructure are under stress.

The Western Hemisphere has significant structural advantages: productive land, water, agricultural expertise, energy resources, large consumer markets, maritime access and technological capacity distributed across multiple sovereign states.

Abundance does not automatically create resilience. Concentrated inputs, processing bottlenecks, insufficient reserves, energy interruptions, fragile corridors, technology lock-in or excessive dependence on a single buyer can convert productive strength into strategic vulnerability.

HRS recommends a Western Hemisphere Food Resilience Architecture built around diversified production, sovereign choice, resilient infrastructure, secure inputs, strategic reserves, market diversity, reliable water and energy, interoperable technology and institutions capable of adapting over 50–100 years.

CENTRAL CONCLUSION

Resilient interdependence. Countries should be able to trade extensively while retaining enough productive capacity, infrastructure and alternative options to prevent food dependency from becoming coercive leverage.

SECTION 15

Methodology, Research Integrity and Limitations

This assessment is an original HRS Resilience Foundation synthesis based on public-source materials from U.S. government agencies, international energy and agricultural institutions, national governments and HRS analytical constructs.

Documented facts are distinguished from analytical judgments. Country roles describe HRS's assessment of strategic food-system relevance over a 50–100 year horizon; they are not measures of national virtue, political alignment or military power.

The assessment does not assert that China, the United States, Brazil or any other actor intends to use food as a weapon. References to strategic leverage describe a scenario in which concentrated dependencies could create coercive or disruptive effects.

The assessment does not infer risk from nationality, ethnicity, religion or lawful foreign investment, and foreign ownership or technology is not treated as inherently harmful. What matters instead are concentration, transparency, control, substitutability, recovery and sovereign choice.

This is a public-source sector readiness assessment. It is not a classified intelligence estimate, engineering audit, legal opinion, procurement recommendation, investment recommendation or certification.

Originality statement. The analytical framework, readiness cycle application, dependency model, sector architecture, country-role synthesis and recommendations are original HRS work. Public sources are evidence inputs; the synthesis and assessment are authored by HRS Resilience Foundation.

SELECTED BIBLIOGRAPHY AND SOURCES

Sources

- [1] The White House. Quadrennial Supply Chain Review, 2021–2024. Published December 2024.
- [2] The White House, Office of Management and Budget. Statement of Administration Policy: H.R. 7567 — Farm, Food, and National Security Act of 2026. April 27, 2026.
- [3] USDA Economic Research Service. Brazil country/region analysis. Updated 2025.
- [4] USDA Economic Research Service. Soybeans and Oil Crops. Updated June 2026.
- [5] USDA Foreign Agricultural Service. Oilseeds and Products Annual — China. March 2026.
- [6] U.S. Department of Defense. New USDA Program Ties Food Security to National Defense. July 8, 2025.
- [7] U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Manufacturing and Energy Supply Chains. Supply Chain Readiness Level Framework. January 16, 2025.
- [8] U.S. Energy Information Administration. Use of Energy in Industry. March 2026 data update.
- [9] U.S. Energy Information Administration. International Energy Analysis — Brazil.
- [10] International Energy Agency. Latin America Energy Outlook 2023.
- [11] International Energy Agency. Latin America Energy Profile.
- [12] FAO. Regional agriculture and food-security assessments for Latin America and the Caribbean.
- [13] Government of Brazil — Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock; water, energy and trade authorities.
- [14] Government of Argentina — agriculture, energy and trade authorities.
- [15] Government of Canada — Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada.
- [16] Government of Mexico — Secretaría de Agricultura y Desarrollo Rural and relevant infrastructure/energy authorities.
- [17] Competent public agencies of Chile, Peru, Colombia, Paraguay, Uruguay, Guyana, Central America and Caribbean states.
- [18] HRS Resilience Foundation. Strategic Report 01 — Health Security and Institutional Resilience in the Americas: From Preparedness to Operational Readiness. 2026.
- [19] HRS Resilience Foundation. Policy Brief 01 — Strengthening Regional Health Emergency Readiness in the Americas: From Early Warning to Action. 2026.
- [20] HRS Resilience Foundation. Technical Paper 01 — Building Resilient Health Supply Chains. 2026.
- [21] HRS Resilience Foundation. Country Readiness Assessment 01 — Brazil: Institutional Readiness, Critical Infrastructure and Health-Security Continuity. 2026.
- [22] HRS Resilience Foundation. Sector Readiness Assessment 01 — Telecommunications & Digital Infrastructure: Sector Readiness, Continuity and Strategic Dependency. 2026.
- [23] HRS Resilience Foundation. Sector Readiness Assessment 02 — Data Centers, Cloud & AI Infrastructure: Energy, Continuity, Security and Strategic Dependency. 2026.
- [24] HRS Resilience Foundation. Sector Readiness Assessment 03 — Critical Minerals & Strategic Materials: Strategic Dependency, Supply-Chain Resilience and Long-Term Readiness in the Western Hemisphere. 2026.
- [25] HRS Resilience Foundation. Sector Readiness Assessment 04 — Rare Earths & Western Hemisphere Mineral Security: Strategic Resources, Industrial Resilience and Hemispheric Security. 2026.
- [26] HRS Resilience Foundation. Sector Readiness Assessment 05 — Energy Security & Strategic Power Infrastructure: Energy Security, Infrastructure Resilience and Western Hemisphere Readiness. 2026.
- [27] HRS Resilience Foundation. Sector Readiness Assessment 06 — Ports, Logistics & Maritime Infrastructure: Maritime Connectivity, Strategic Logistics and Western Hemisphere Resilience. 2026.

Suggested citation: HRS Resilience Foundation. Sector Readiness Assessment 07 — Food Security & Strategic Agricultural Resilience: Food Systems, Supply-Chain Resilience and Western Hemisphere Security. Western Hemisphere Readiness Series. September 2026.

HRS Resilience Foundation

Independent research for institutional readiness, continuity, and 50-year resilience planning across the Western Hemisphere. The Western Hemisphere Readiness Series applies the HRS Readiness Cycle to critical sectors, translating public-source evidence into practical frameworks built to remain valid for governments, infrastructure operators, investors, and institutional decision-makers across changes in technology, suppliers, and political leadership.

SERIES TO DATE

SRA 01 — Telecommunications & Digital Infrastructure

SRA 02 — Data Centers, Cloud & AI Infrastructure

SRA 03 — Critical Minerals & Strategic Materials

SRA 04 — Rare Earths & Western Hemisphere Mineral Security

SRA 05 — Energy Security & Strategic Power Infrastructure

SRA 06 — Ports, Logistics & Maritime Infrastructure

SRA 07 — Food Security & Strategic Agricultural Resilience

SRA 08 — Pharmaceutical & Medical Supply Chains

SRA 09 — Advanced Manufacturing & Industrial Capacity

SRA 10 — Western Hemisphere Strategic Infrastructure & Resilience

André Vieira, MD

FOUNDER & CHAIRMAN, HRS RESILIENCE FOUNDATION